UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,108	10/31/2003	Michael Altenhofen	13909-055001 / 2000E00019	8924
32864 FISH & RICHA	7590 06/29/200 ARDSON, P.C.	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 1022	ŕ	JACKSON, JENISE E		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2439	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,108	ALTENHOFEN, MICHAEL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JENISE E. JACKSON	2439	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1,3-10,21 and 23-28 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3-10, 21, 23-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir 11).	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/698,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 2439

DETAILED ACTION

In view of the appeal brief filed on 4/17/09, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1, 3-10, 21, 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 based on Supreme Court precedent and recent Federal Circuit decisions, a 35 U.S.C § 101 process must (1) be tied to a

particular machine or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. In re Bilski et al, 88 USPQ 2d 1385 CAFC (2008); Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876).

An example of a method claim that would <u>not qualify</u> as a statutory process would be a claim that recited purely mental steps. Thus, to qualify as a § 101 statutory process, the claim should positively recite the particular machine to which it is tied, for example by identifying the apparatus that accomplishes the method steps, or positively recite the subject matter that is being transformed, for example by identifying the material that is being changed to a different state.

Here, applicant's method steps are not tied to a particular machine and do not perform a transformation. Thus, the claims are non-statutory.

The mere recitation of the machine in the preamble with an absence of a machine in the body of the claim fails to make the claim statutory under 35 USC 101. *Note the Board of Patent Appeals Informative Opinion Ex parte Langemyer et al.*

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-9, 11, 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Floyd et al(6,243,692).

Art Unit: 2439

- 5. As per claims 1, 11, Floyd discloses a method of providing an application core(i.e. protection module) of a software application(see col. 2, lines 1-14) with access to version-specific functionality(see col. 3, lines 52-66), determining a version of the software application(see col. 3, lines 43-51), providing a module link(i.e. unlock module) that corresponds to the version(see col. 4, lines 19-25), authenticating the module link(i.e. unlock module) by verifying against a code that is unique to a user, the module link being used by the application core(i.e. protection module) to access the version–specific functionality(see col. 2, lines 28-39, see col. 4, lines 18-25, 33-35); wherein the application core(i.e. protection module) comprises software that is common across multiple versions(i.e. trial versions) of the application(see col. Lines 58-67, col. 2, lines 1-4), the version includes one of the multiple versions, and the version-specific functionality includes functionality that is specific to the version of the software application(see col. 3, lines 43-51, col. 4, lines 18-25).
- 6. As per claims 3, 13, Floyd discloses encrypting the module link(i.e. unlock module) before providing the module link(see col. 4, lines 51-67).
- 7. As per claims 4, 14, Floyd discloses wherein the module link is encrypted with a public key that corresponds to a user of the software application(see col. 2, lines 37-41, col. 4, lines 52-63).
- 8. As per claims 5, 15, Floyd discloses receiving the public key used for encrypting the module link(see col. 4, lines 51-63).
- 9. As per claims 6, 16, Floyd discloses wherein the module link enables the application core(i.e. trial DLL) access to the version-specific functionality by enabling the application core to reference the version specific functionality(see col. 4, lines 18-25).

Art Unit: 2439

- 10. As per claims 7, 17, Floyd discloses wherein the module link enables the application core to access the version-specific functionality by enabling the application core to downloading the version specific functionality and to incorporate the version specific functionality into the application core(see col. 1, lines 52-66, col. 2, lines 1-4, col. 3, lines 5-10, 24-26, 43-66).
- 11. As per claims 8, 18, Floyd discloses wherein the module link comprises configuration settings for the application core(see col. 4, lines 3-7, 18-25).
- 12. As per claims 9, 19, Floyd discloses receiving identification information(i.e. license the user purchased) that corresponds to a user of the software application; wherein the version of the software application is determined using the identification information(see col. 2, lines 38-41, col. 3, lines 52-66).

Response to Applicant

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-10, 21, 23-28 are persuasive. Thus, new art has been applied to claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENISE E. JACKSON whose telephone number is (571)272-3791. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flex time, but generally in the office M-Fri(8-4:30)..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on (571) 272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,108 Page 6

Art Unit: 2439

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 18, 2009 /J. E. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 2439

/Edan Orgad/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2439